UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO:	2:12-MJ-00347-1
Plaintiff,)	CRIMINAL	
vs.)	Corpus (Christi, Texas
ROBERT L. MC CHESTER, JR.,)	Friday, A	April 13, 2012
Defendant.))	(10:21 a.m.	to 12:03 p.m.)

PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION / DETENTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE B. JANICE ELLINGTON,
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Appearances: See next page

Case Manager: Dana Perez

Court Recorder: Arlene Benavidez

Deputy U.S. Marshals: Jacob Palombo; Ryan Evans

Justin De Los Santos

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES FOR:

Plaintiff: LANCE DUKE, ESQ.

Assistant United States Attorney

800 N. Shoreline, Suite 500 Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

Defendant: KEITH M. GOULD, ESQ.

Assistant Federal Public Defender

606 N. Carancahua, Suite 401 Corpus Christi, Texas 78476

U.S. Pretrial Services: Becky Espinosa

1133 N. Shoreline Blvd.

Room 114

Corpus Christi, Texas 78401

INDEX

GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
CLAY ODOM	7	27	46	

DEFENSE WITNESS

ROBERT L. MC CHESTER, JR.

COURT EXAMINATION 50

1 Corpus Christi, Texas; Friday, April 13, 2012; 10:21 a.m. (Call to Order) I'm going to call C-12-347-M, Robert 3 THE COURT: McChester, Jr. May I have appearances, please? 4 5 MR. DUKE: Lance Duke for the United States. MR. GOULD: Keith Gould for Robert McChester. 6 7 Your Honor, can we approach the bench for a moment for a housekeeping matter? 8 9 THE COURT: Uh-huh. (Begin bench conference at 10:22 a.m.) 10 11 MR. GOULD: I have a little concern this morning. 12 There's going to be a lot of -- salacious testimony in 13 evidence --14 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 15 MR. GOULD: -- of a sexual nature involving a minor 16 who's 15 years of age. We've got a full house, including, I 17 think, three or four sheriffs --18 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 19 MR. GOULD: So, I'd request that we close the 20 courtroom for that portion of the testimony. 21 THE COURT: Why? I mean you have to have a better 22 reason than that, because the press has a First Amendment right 23 to be here. 24 MR. GOULD: I understand. My client has some parents 25 that are older and they've been very, very distraught over this

1 whole thing. THE COURT: Well, you can ask them to step outside. I don't -- unless you give me a case or some legal reason why 3 they have to be excluded. I can't take (indiscernible). 4 5 MR. GOULD: Just the nature of the testimony and the evidence. 6 7 THE COURT: There's no case that says that just because of that that they can't be here? 8 9 MR. GOULD: No, I don't have a case with me. 10 couldn't tell you that. I don't have a case. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Duke (indiscernible). (Indiscernible) in the courtroom. 12 MR. DUKE: 13 THE COURT: I'd be happy to accommodate you, but they 14 have a right to be here. So you can ask your clients' parents 15 to step out if they don't want to hear it. 16 MR. GOULD: They're not going to be here for that 17 testimony. I just wanted to be ready for the Court --THE COURT: I'm sorry, but that's what happens in 18 19 these cases, what they're about. 20 Anything else? 21 MR. GOULD: That's all. 22 THE COURT: Okay. (End bench conference at 10:23 a.m.) 23 24 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. McChester. 25 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Gould, your client is scheduled for a
2	preliminary hearing and for a detention hearing. What is your
3	announcement?
4	MR. GOULD: We're ready, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Is the government ready, Mr. Duke?
6	MR. DUKE: We're ready, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated, Mr. McChester,
8	with your lawyer at counsel table.
9	Mr. Duke, call your first witness.
10	MR. DUKE: Your Honor, we call Clay Odom.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Odom, come forward to be sworn.
12	CLAY ODOM, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
13	THE COURT: You may proceed.
14	MR. DUKE: Your Honor, to be clear, with respect to
15	the Court's preference on how to handle this, I'm going to call
16	the same witness, Mr. Odom, for purposes of the preliminary
17	hearing and detention issues, some of it will not reflect on
18	the preliminary. Would the Court prefer I just ask all my
19	questions for both hearings at once?
20	THE COURT: It doesn't matter to me. What about you,
21	Mr. Gould?
22	MR. GOULD: I don't have a problem with that, your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: Just doing it all at once or separating
25	it?

On April 3rd, nurses from the Spohn Memorial Hospital here

24

25

enforcement?

- 1 | in Corpus Christi contacted the Corpus Christi Police
- 2 Department regarding a juvenile female that made an outcry,
- 3 saying that she had been involved in sexual activity with an
- 4 | adult police officer at her school, Tuloso-Midway, here in
- 5 Corpus Christi. And the management at the hospital called the
- 6 Corpus Christi Police Department so they could respond out and
- 7 get more details.
- 8 Q And specifically, how old was the juvenile?
- 9 A I believe she was 14 -- no, I'm sorry, 15.
- 10 Q Fifteen. Okay. And that happened earlier this month?
- 11 A April 3rd.
- 12 Q And specifically, did she state to hospital personnel that
- 13 | she was engaged in any sort of illegal activity with the police
- 14 officer?
- 15 A She said that she had a sexual -- she had had sex with the
- 16 police officer on a number of occasions.
- 17 Q And did she identify the police officer by name?
- 18 A Yes, she did.
- 19 Q What name did she provide?
- 20 A Robert McChester.
- 21 Q And so, after the juvenile made this outcry in the
- 22 hospital, what happened next?
- 23 A Officers of the Corpus Christi Police Department
- 24 determined that Robert McChester was employed as a moonlighting
- 25 or off-duty security job at Tuloso-Midway High School;

- 1 | confirmed that he was an employee there. And I believe it was
- 2 | the following day they executed a search warrant at his home
- 3 here in Corpus Christi.
- 4 Q And I want to talk about that in a moment, but just to be
- 5 | clear for the record, we've been referring to him as Robert
- 6 McChester. He is, in fact, a junior; is that correct?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q So whenever you're referring to McChester, you're
- 9 referring to junior, not senior. Is that correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 | Q And so, during the execution of the search warrant, was
- 12 anything seized?
- 13 A Yes, sir. I believe a couple of laptops -- laptop
- 14 | computers, a couple of phones and, I believe, his truck was
- 15 impounded, as well.
- 16 Q And to your knowledge, have those phones and/or laptops
- 17 | seized during the execution of the search warrant at
- 18 Mr. McChester's house, have they been forensically analyzed at
- 19 | this time?
- 20 A I believe they're in the process of being analyzed.
- 21 Q Do you have any information regarding what the contents
- 22 | are for any of those devices as you sit here today?
- 23 A The devices taken from Mr. McChester, Jr.'s house, the
- 24 defendant, no, sir, I don't.
- 25 Q So, after the search warrant was executed, was the child

- 1 | ever interviewed other than at the hospital?
- 2 A She was. She was interviewed at the hospital and her
- 3 mother was present during that interview. The following day,
- 4 | she was interviewed by forensic interviewers with the
- 5 | Children's Advocacy Center here in Corpus Christi.
- 6 Q And during that interview, did she provide any additional
- 7 detail regarding the illegal activity she was engaged in with
- 8 Officer McChester?
- 9 A She did. She provided all the details about the sexual
- 10 activity, the encounters, how she met the defendant.
- 11 | Q How did she meet Mr. McChester?
- 12 A She met him at the school where he's an off-duty security
- 13 officer. Shortly after meeting him, there was an incident at
- 14 | the school wherein the victim stood up on a table in the
- 15 cafeteria, some kind of a disruptive behavior. She was
- 16 escorted to the office by the defendant and an assistant
- 17 principal and they were -- I believe she was counseled in the
- 18 office.
- 19 At the time she was counseled, the defendant wrote
- 20 her a Corpus Christi Police Department citation that was given
- 21 to her and the assistant principal was present when that
- 22 occurred. However, we found out that later the ticket was
- 23 destroyed, ripped up by the defendant and he, after that
- 24 | incident occurred, the victim reached out to the defendant
- 25 through Facebook.

- 1 Q Let me stop you right there. Going back to this incident
- 2 that occurred at the high school, Mr. McChester, when he
- 3 encountered the student following this disruptive behavior in
- 4 | the cafeteria, was he acting consistent with his terms of
- 5 | employment there at Tuloso-Midway?
- 6 A While he was stationed in the cafeteria when the incident
- 7 occurred? Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Okay. And then was he further acting in his duties during
- 9 this meeting, I guess, that occurred with himself, the student
- 10 | and the vice principal?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q And have you interviewed the vice principal?
- 13 A We have.
- 14 Q And did she recall this incident?
- 15 A She did. She remembered it.
- 16 O And did she recall the ticket?
- 17 A She did.
- 18 Q And did she say what she believed occurred with the
- 19 | ticket?
- 20 A To the best of her knowledge, the ticket was issued to the
- 21 | victim and the victim was released shortly thereafter from her
- 22 office and the assistant principal did not know what the
- 23 outcome of that ticket was, if it was destroyed or the victim
- 24 had to respond to court. The assistant principal said the
- 25 | ticket was issued and then the victim left her office and she

- 1 | never had any other discussions with anyone about that ticket.
- 2 O Did the vice principal state whether or not she had
- 3 advised or encouraged Mr. McChester to destroy the ticket or
- 4 otherwise cancel it?
- 5 A She did not. She did not advise him to destroy it. She
- 6 said she was unaware that the ticket had been destroyed.
- 7 Q And then you said shortly thereafter, after this incident
- 8 and this meeting in the vice principal's -- I assume it was in
- 9 the office? Is that fair to say?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 | O You said the victim contacted Mr. McChester on Facebook?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q How quickly did that occur? Are we talking months? Are
- 14 | we talking weeks? Days?
- 15 A I believe it was a matter of days. I believe the first
- 16 | contact was April 12th, 2011. And when I say contact, I mean a
- 17 | message sent through Facebook, a social networking site.
- 18 Q Other than Facebook, has the investigation revealed that
- 19 McChester was communicating with the victim through other
- 20 means?
- 21 A Cell phone.
- 22 | Q And just for purposes of establishing for the preliminary
- 23 | hearing, he was communicating through which types of interstate
- 24 | telecommunications facilities to your knowledge?
- 25 A Through Internet service provider, through cellular

- 1 | telephones, text-messaging and placing voice phone calls.
- 2 Q And so, what did the victim say in this initial
- 3 communication via Facebook?
- 4 A The victim made a comment, something to the effect of, "I
- 5 | found you on Sam's Facebook friend -- Facebook profile page."
- 6 Somewhere she made reference to the fact that the defendant
- 7 was, I assume, a friend on Facebook to her.
- 8 Q This Sam person?
- 9 A Yes. And that's how she found the defendant's profile.
- 10 And then she messaged him based on her finding him -- his
- 11 profile.
- 12 Q Have you been able to determine whether or not this Sam
- 13 | person is a real person and whether or not they are a child
- 14 and/or a student?
- 15 A We have identified that person and they were a student.
- 16 | She was a student at Tuloso-Midway High School.
- 17 Q So, do you know whether or not -- people can be 18 years
- 18 of age and attending high school. Is that fair to say?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Do you know whether or not this person was a minor had
- 21 | achieved the age of majority?
- 22 A If I remember correctly, I believe at the time the
- 23 communication began between the defendant and the victim, this
- other student was a sophomore in high school.
- 25 Q So, do you think that that other student was an 18-year

- 1 | old sophomore or does that mean that you think that they were
- 2 | the traditional age for sophomores I think is what, 16?
- 3 A Sixteen. I believe it was traditional age. When we
- 4 | interviewed the assistant principal, we discussed this student
- 5 and she said she believes that she is sophomore age -- or,
- 6 | pardon me -- junior age at this point. So, a year ago, I can
- 7 only assume that she would have been sophomore age.
- 8 Q And do we know what, if anything, were the content of
- 9 those communications between McChester and this child?
- 10 A Yes, sir. After the Corpus Christi Police Department
- 11 | spoke to the victim's mother, they received -- asked for and
- 12 | received -- consent to search --
- 13 |Q No, no, no. I'm actually asking about the other child who
- 14 had friended or was friends with Mr. McChester who our victim
- 15 then sort of found in order to gain access to Mr. McChester.
- 16 Has that child Sam, do we know any of the content of those
- 17 | communications?
- 18 A No, sir.
- 19 Q So, victim contacts Mr. McChester. Does Mr. McChester
- 20 know who victim is?
- 21 A Based on the message content, yes. He makes comments
- 22 about seeing her in school and her age in the beginning of the
- 23 messaging.
- 24 Q And so, how quickly after the -- at least with respect to
- 25 | the Facebook communications -- how quickly does Mr. McChester

- 1 | acknowledge knowing the victim and also knowing her age?
- 2 A I believe the messages that we retrieved were -- the bulk
- 3 of the messages began early May of 2011 and that's where the
- 4 | acknowledgment -- the defendant acknowledges that he's aware
- 5 | that the victim is -- I believe he said 13. She was actually
- 6 14 at the time. They exchanged messages regarding her age,
- 7 things that occurred at school.
- 8 Q And throughout the communications during the entire length
- 9 of which they span -- which, by the way, when's the last
- 10 | Facebook communication that we have?
- 11 A I believe the final Facebook message was on April 2nd of
- 12 | this year.
- 13 | Q Okay. So, throughout this long May to April communication
- 14 period, are there numerous references to the victim being a
- 15 | child?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q And how would you describe the first communications with
- 18 | the -- between the victim and McChester? What was the nature
- 19 of their talk? Did it start off immediately sexual or was it
- 20 something else?
- 21 A It did not start off immediately sexual. It was, I
- 22 | believe, the first few messages were in reference to the
- 23 incident that occurred in the cafeteria and the fact that the
- 24 defendant wrote the victim a ticket, which the defendant then
- 25 destroyed. The victim was more or less thanking the officer,

- 1 | the defendant, for destroying the ticket. I guess that she
- 2 thought that was a nice thing he did.
- 3 Q How would you describe, after they discussed that, the
- 4 | nature of their comments to one another? Was it like a father
- 5 talking to a daughter or was it like two mutual friends? Was
- 6 | it like a mentor to a mentee? How would you describe their --
- 7 | the nature of those conversations?
- 8 A Within a few days of chatting through the messaging on
- 9 Facebook, the conversation, I would say, became flirtatious.
- 10 There were references to the victim dancing and the defendant
- 11 made comments about that and there were comments about -- the
- 12 defendant made to the victim, something in regards to her -- he
- 13 | didn't want her hanging out with boys.
- 14 | Q Did McChester ever refer to himself in any sort of
- 15 possessory way with respect to the victim?
- 16 A In the messaging, she -- when he made reference to her --
- 17 his desire for her not to hang out with boys, she asked why.
- 18 And he made some kind of comment about, "Because I'm your
- 19 daddy."
- 20 Q After this communications, how did they progress after the
- 21 | initial ones? When did it become sexual?
- 22 A It became sexual, I believe, in May of 2011. The topic
- 23 turned -- in the messages, the topic turned to text messages
- 24 | the victim was going to send to the defendant after she had
- 25 been drinking. The defendant was pressing the victim to reveal

- 1 | what she wanted to text. He asked her to call him on his cell
- 2 | phone and the conversation led into whether or not they would
- 3 get together in person and mess around.
- 4 Q How did you know that that messing around meant something
- 5 | sexual in nature?
- 6 A The defendant actually asked in this chain of messages
- 7 | what she meant by messing around. He asked her specifically,
- 8 | "What are you referring to? I need you to tell me."
- 9 She was reluctant for a few messages to say what she
- 10 | actually wanted to say and eventually it became graphically
- 11 sexual.
- 12 Q Did the victim state specific sexual acts that she was
- 13 | willing to engage in with McChester?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And what was his response to those statements by victim?
- 16 A I believe he asked her for more details. He wanted to
- 17 hear more from her.
- 18 Q At any point did Mr. McChester offer his own thoughts and
- 19 | ideas regarding sexual acts that he wished to perform on the
- 20 | victim?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q And were those sexual acts explicitly described?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q And were the sexual acts that McChester wished to engage
- 25 | in with the victim, in your opinion, were they violations of

- 1 Texas Sexual Assault Penal Code Statute?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And specifically, what types of sexual acts did
- 4 Mr. McChester and the victim discuss engaging in with one
- 5 another?
- 6 A There were references made to -- comments made about
- 7 | "throwing me around, slap my ass," making her gag.
- 8 Q I guess my question is a little bit more broad than that.
- 9 Going back to Texas Penal Code, Section 22.011, it makes it a
- 10 crime to engage in sex with a minor and sex is defined as
- 11 | contact between the penis and the vagina of a child -- or the
- 12 | sexual organ of a child, I should say, which would, of course,
- 13 | in this case would be the vagina of a child -- or contact
- 14 between the mouth of the defendant and the sexual organ of a
- 15 child, or contact between the mouth of the child and the sexual
- 16 organ of the defendant, or also contact between the sexual
- 17 organs of the child and/or -- excuse me, between the
- 18 defendant's sexual organ and the anus of the child. Were the
- 19 chats -- without going into exactly what they said -- were the
- 20 | chats explicit as to any of those acts?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 0 Which ones?
- 23 A Specifically, I believe, there was reference -- there were
- 24 references made to oral sex and anal sex.
- 25 Q How about vaginal sex?

- 1 A Yes. I believe there were references made to group sex,
- 2 las well.
- 3 Q Was this a single occurrence? You know, just one set of
- 4 chats on a particular day? Or how many times did it occur
- 5 during this time period of communicating via Facebook between
- 6 May and April?
- 7 A Numerous times.
- 8 Q During these communications, did Mr. McChester ever
- 9 discuss with the child terms or specifics regarding meet
- 10 locations and times to engage in sexual acts with the child?
- 11 A They do discuss meet and locations.
- 12 Q And are there any references through the chats that
- 13 | indicate whether or not they actually did, in fact, meet after
- 14 having discussed some of these locations?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 O And are there references within the chats that the child
- 17 | actually engaged in sexual acts with McChester?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And are those references simply made on the part of the
- 20 | victim or are they also made on the part of Mr. McChester
- 21 | acknowledging the sexual acts?
- 22 A Both the victim and the defendant.
- 23 Q During the chats, did Mr. McChester ever express any
- 24 | concern regarding other individuals viewing or getting a hold
- 25 of these communications between himself and the victim?

- 1 A Yes, sir. During the course of the messages being sent
- 2 back and forth, the defendant did make comments on numerous
- 3 occasions asking if the victim was deleting the Facebook
- 4 messages. He made a comment on one occasion about he did not
- 5 | want to leave a paper trail; that they should be using the
- 6 phone -- telephone. And also, there was a comment made by the
- 7 defendant at one point that he had to delete some of his
- 8 Facebook friends because they were checking.
- 9 Q Specifically, did Mr. McChester refer to deleting Facebook
- 10 friends or deleting students who were Facebook friends?
- 11 A I don't believe he specified in the message whether or not
- 12 | they were students. I believe he said he had to delete some
- 13 friends and I believe that was in reference to him deleting the
- 14 | victim.
- MR. DUKE: Can I have a moment, please?
- 16 **THE COURT:** Yes.
- 17 (Pause)
- 18 MR. DUKE: May I approach the witness?
- 19 **THE COURT:** Yes.
- 20 BY MR. DUKE:
- 21 Q I just put a piece of paper in front of you. At the top
- 22 | it's marked 41 of 59. Do you know what that page is?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What is it?
- 25 A It's a copy of a list of messages sent between the victim

- 1 and the defendant taken from Facebook.
- 2 Q And does it make reference to defendant needing to delete
- 3 | some friends from Facebook?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And specifically, what does it say?
- 6 A It shows the defendant saying, "Hey, have to delete some
- 7 | students from my FB -- Facebook -- because they're checking.
- 8 Just wanted to let you know."
- 9 Q So, earlier when you said that it wasn't specific, it just
- 10 | said students -- I'm sorry -- just said friends, was that
- 11 | incorrect?
- 12 A Yes, it was.
- 13 Q Do we know which other students Mr. McChester was
- 14 | communicating with at this time?
- 15 A No, sir.
- 16 Q You said that during the chats there were references to
- 17 Mr. McChester wanting to communicate with a child orally, that
- 18 | is, by telephone. Why did he want to do that?
- 19 A Those comments were made in some occasions contemporaneous
- 20 | with comments about sexual activity or planning sexual acts or
- 21 | I believe he wanted to use the phone in order to avoid a paper
- 22 | trail or he kept asking, "Are you deleting these messages?"
- 23 | Something that he -- I believe he made a comment that it was
- 24 | something he'd rather talk to her on the phone about rather
- 25 than on Facebook.

- 1 | Q The sexual acts, did any of them occur at McChester's
- 2 home?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Did the victim describe McChester's home in appearance;
- 5 | both the outside and/or the inside?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q And through the investigation, was the victim's
- 8 description more or less accurate?
- 9 A More or less, yes, sir.
- 10 Q Do you believe based on the investigation through the
- 11 execution of the search warrant, as well as the descriptions
- 12 provided by the victim either during her CAC interview or the
- 13 chats on Facebook, do you have an opinion as to whether or not
- 14 | the victim actually has been in Mr. McChester's home?
- 15 A Based on her descriptions of his home, particular things
- 16 that she said, yes, I believe she had been in the victim's home
- 17 | -- or, pardon me, in the defendant's home.
- 18 Q After the -- let me go back. During the CAC interview,
- 19 did the victim describe how many times she'd engaged in sexual
- 20 acts with McChester?
- 21 A I believe in all she claimed seven to eight times during
- 22 | that interview.
- 23 | Q And did she -- was she explicit in terms of description of
- 24 | what type of sexual acts she engaged in?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 | Q Did the victim make any comments regarding Mr. McChester
- 2 and who would see this interview that she was giving?
- 3 A Yes, she did.
- 4 Q What were those comments?
- 5 A At certain points during the CAC interview she asked who
- 6 | would see the videotape and, also, who would see the paper that
- 7 | she was drawing, writing notes on. When she was pressed for
- 8 details why she -- why that was a concern, she said she did not
- 9 want the defendant to see the video or the paper.
- 10 Q After their last Facebook communication and after the
- 11 | search warrant was executed on McChester's home, were there any
- 12 other communications or attempted communications between
- 13 McChester and the victim?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 0 Describe that.
- 16 A After the search warrant at Mr. McChester -- the
- 17 | defendant's -- house on, I believe it was April 3rd, the
- 18 | victim's mother contacted Corpus Christi Police detectives to
- 19 say that she had discovered two additional phones that the
- 20 | victim had been using. I guess those phones at some point had
- 21 been lost or misplaced. They were found and the mother -- the
- 22 | victim's mother -- contacted the police department to let them
- 23 know that she had found them if they wanted to come get them.
- 24 Detectives did go get those phones the following day.
- 25 | I believe it was April 6th. And when they picked up the phones

- 1 | from the victim's mother, the victim's mother said that -- and
- 2 | I don't know if it was on the 5th or the 6th -- the morning of
- 3 the 6th -- but she said that a phone call had come in to the
- 4 iPhone that they found -- that the mother found -- and the male
- 5 on the other end of the line was asking for the victim. She
- 6 | said, "She's not available." The mother said, "She's not
- 7 available." And she asked who it is. This individual gave a
- 8 name. It was not Robert McChester. It was not the name Robert
- 9 McChester. He gave a name, hung the phone up and shortly
- 10 thereafter the victim, who was present, did confirm that that
- 11 was the defendant that had called.
- 12 | Q How did she confirm that?
- 13 | A I don't know if it was by hearing his voice or the phone
- 14 | number or a description that was programmed -- contact name
- 15 | that was programmed into the phone.
- 16 Q Was there any chance that the victim was mistaken as to
- 17 | who the identity of the caller was?
- 18 A I don't believe so.
- 19 Q In addition to actually explicitly stating things of a
- 20 sexual nature during the chats, did the victim and
- 21 Mr. McChester attempt to hide at any point the nature of what
- 22 | they were talking about by use of code words?
- 23 A There were certain words they would use. They referred to
- 24 going swimming, hang out, those terms were always in
- 25 parentheses; seemed to always be in parenthesis when they would

- 1 | refer to them. On one occasion, I believe the victim referred
- 2 to hanging out, in parenthesis, and in the same sentence she
- 3 | said, "But I'm on my girly thing." So, then there was
- 4 reference to something that they had previously done at a park
- 5 | in Corpus Christi. And she asked, "Do you want to do the same
- 6 thing we did at this park?"
- 7 And the defendant said, "Yeah. That's fine. We can
- 8 do the same thing we did at the park."
- Q The -- sorry, I lost my train of thought.
- 10 During the execution of the search warrant --
- 11 actually, let me go back and ask a different question.
- 12 The communications discussing sexual acts between the
- 13 | victim and McChester, did it reference the use of any police
- 14 equipment as part of those sexual acts?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q Did it reference the use of -- actually, I'm not going to
- 17 ask that.
- 18 During the execution of the search warrant, was
- 19 Mr. McChester found to be in possession of any items that he
- 20 | should not have been found in possession of? Related to his
- 21 duties as a police officer?
- 22 A I believe there were numerous identification documents;
- 23 | Texas driver's license or ID cards found on his dresser in the
- 24 bedroom.
- 25 Q And to your knowledge, is he allowed to keep the ID cards

- 1 of the people he pulls over?
- 2 A I don't know exactly what the Corpus Christi Police
- 3 Department's policy about that is, but I was told that those
- 4 | identification documents there is a procedure they have in
- 5 place in order to get the identification documents back to the
- 6 owner and that's through the department. If an officer were to
- 7 keep, by accident, say a driver's license or an ID document --
- 8 | identification document -- they have some procedure in place to
- 9 get those documents back to the owner.
- 10 Q And how many IDs did Mr. McChester have in his home?
- 11 A Based on the photographs, probably around ten.
- 12 | Q And did those IDs consist of -- any of them consist of
- 13 | children?
- 14 A There were some identification documents of individuals
- 15 that were under 21. However, I don't know the ages of all
- 16 those individuals.
- 17 Q Do you know whether or not Mr. McChester has previously
- 18 | been disciplined for keeping motorists' or people he encounters
- 19 | identifications?
- 20 A It's my understanding he has been disciplined in the past
- 21 for keeping identification documents.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 Q Did the victim state whether or not she was afraid of
- 24 Mr. McChester seeing her interview and/or the notes she made at
- 25 | the CAC?

24

25

of interstate commerce, but what facts or factors in this case lead you to your conclusion that interstate commerce was

- 1 affected by these alleged actions?
- 2 A Well, the Facebook servers that -- actual servers that run
- 3 Facebook are located in California, if I'm not mistaken. Cell
- 4 phones use land lines in actuality that transmit signals.
- 5 Therefore, interstate commerce is affected.
- 6 Q Okay. Now, the cell phone of the alleged victim in this
- 7 | case, who was her provider?
- 8 A I believe it was AT and T.
- 9 Q Are you sure? Or do you believe?
- 10 A That was a comment she made during her interview. I
- 11 believe the interviewer asked, "Who was your cell phone
- 12 | carrier, " and she said, "AT and T."
- 13 Q Other than her statement to you, were you able to
- 14 | independently verify who her cell phone carrier was?
- 15 A Not yet, no, sir.
- 16 Q Have you -- do you have any verification as to
- 17 Mr. McChester's cell phone providers?
- 18 A Not yet, no, sir.
- 19 Q Have you seized any cell phones of Mr. McChester?
- 20 A Actually yes, sir. We have seized a T-Mobile phone that
- 21 belonged to Mr. McChester.
- 22 | Q Okay. Have you -- I believe you said you haven't done any
- 23 | forensic analysis of that cell phone?
- 24 A I don't have the results yet, sir.
- 25 Q So, you can't tell us today that you found anything on any

- 1 | cell phone of Mr. McChester's that would corroborate the
- 2 | alleged victim's testimony?
- 3 A I don't have any of that information yet, no, sir.
- 4 Q Okay. Likewise, you don't have any information to share
- 5 | with us regarding information you may have taken from
- 6 Mr. McChester's laptop?
- 7 A No, sir, I don't have those results back, either.
- 8 Q Okay. Do you have any information from Facebook that
- 9 you've subpoenaed from them regarding any accounts that you
- 10 | believe may be owned or operated by Mr. McChester?
- 11 A I do not have that information yet, sir.
- 12 Q Okay. So, the Facebook information that you're testifying
- 13 about today and information that was shown to you by Mr. Duke,
- 14 | where did you get that information from?
- 15 A That information came from the computer in the victim's
- 16 home.
- 17 Q Okay. So, the only information you have -- if I
- 18 understand this right regarding Facebook chat -- is from the
- 19 victim's home? Her computer and her testimony?
- 20 A Yes, sir. She provided passwords and user names to access
- 21 | Facebook which the detectives were able to do and that
- 22 | information was accessed and printed out --
- 23 | Q Okay.
- 24 A -- from her Facebook account.
- 25 Q And she was able to provide her access information and you

- 1 | were able to access her Facebook account, correct?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q Were you able to access Mr. McChester's Facebook account?
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q Do you have any way to know 100 percent sure that the
- 6 person she was chatting with was Robert McChester, in fact?
- 7 A Other than comments made during the messages. His name
- 8 | would show up on the chats, on the messages that are referenced
- 9 to him being a police officer at her school.
- 10 Q You don't have any way to tell us with any degree of
- 11 certainty today that the person on the other end of that chat
- 12 was, in fact, Robert McChester? It could have been somebody
- 13 | else, correct?
- 14 A Other than her identifying the comments made between them
- 15 about the things that occurred at school that we've been able
- 16 to verify; comments made about his house, describing the house.
- 17 Q The question, sir, was she was talking to somebody.
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 O And she believes it was Robert McChester.
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q It could, in fact, have been somebody else who was using
- 22 Robert McChester's account or an account under Robert
- 23 McChester's name, correct?
- 24 A Could have been.
- 25 Q Could have been a student? Could have been another adult?

- 1 MR. DUKE: Your Honor, I'll object to this line of
- 2 questioning as pure speculation.
- 3 MR. GOULD: Your Honor, it's no more speculation than
- 4 | him telling the Court that it was definitely Robert McChester
- 5 on the other side of that conversation. He doesn't know.
- 6 THE COURT: I get your point. The victim said it
- 7 was. That's all we know.
- 8 MR. GOULD: That's all we know.
- 9 THE COURT: At this point. I get the point. Okay.
- 10 Let's move on.
- 11 MR. GOULD: Okay.
- 12 BY MR. GOULD:
- 13 | Q And you would agree with Judge Ellington that that is all
- 14 | was know is what the victim told you, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Now, when this victim first appeared at Spohn Memorial
- 17 Hospital on April 3rd, 2012 --
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q -- what was the reason that she appeared at the Emergency
- 20 Room?
- 21 A I believe she was intoxicated. Her mother took her to the
- 22 | hospital or had an ambulance take her to the hospital to have
- 23 her evaluated.
- 24 Q In fact, it was acute alcohol intoxication was the
- 25 diagnosis?

- 1 | A I haven't seen the medical records. I don't know what the
- 2 diagnosis was. It's my understanding it was due to alcohol
- 3 consumption.
- 4 Q Okay. Did you talk to a nurse? Nurse Maxey?
- 5 A A Corpus Christi Police detective did talk to Nurse Maxey.
- 6 Q Okay. Did she say it was the fault of a man whose first
- 7 | name started with an R?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And you said that she was able to immediately identify
- 10 | Robert McChester earlier?
- 11 A She said that his name started with an R and then Mc-
- 12 | something, I believe is what she referred to in the beginning.
- 13 | Q But she didn't say Mc-something, did she?
- 14 A At that moment she did not. I believe later she
- 15 | identified the defendant.
- 16 Q She said Mc-fucking-something, correct?
- 17 A Yes. I believe she said both Mc-something or Mc-fucking-
- 18 something.
- 19 Q Were you able to determine through your investigation how
- 20 | intoxicated she was at the time she made the statement?
- 21 A I don't know how intoxicated she was, no, sir.
- 22 | Q Do you think that would have been something important for
- 23 you to know?
- 24 A I imagine the medical records will show. I haven't seen
- 25 | the medical records to see how much alcohol she had in her

- 1 system.
- 2 | Q Now, you've testified earlier that you -- or a search
- 3 warrant was executed at Mr. McChester's home?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q And you said that some laptops and some phones were seized
- 6 and you believe that his truck was seized, as well?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Have you found any evidence on the laptops to link
- 9 Mr. McChester with any of these alleged offenses?
- 10 A I don't have the analysis back from those computers.
- 11 | Q Okay. And likewise, you haven't found any evidence on the
- 12 phones which you can share with us today which would link him
- 13 | to any crime, do you?
- 14 A Again, I don't have the analysis back on the phones,
- 15 either.
- 16 Q Okay. And likewise, you don't have any evidence that may
- 17 or may not have been found in the truck to share with us today
- 18 | that could help your case, do you?
- 19 A Other than the victim describing the truck -- seats in the
- 20 | truck that matched the description of the defendant's truck.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A I don't believe anything was found inside the truck.
- 23 Q Did you ask the victim if she may have seen his truck in
- 24 | the parking lot at the school?
- 25 A I haven't asked her that.

```
35
                     Odom - Cross / By Mr. Gould
 1
         Do you think she might have?
         Possible.
 2
         I'm sorry?
 3
         Possible.
 4
 5
         Okay.
         Well, if he takes his private vehicle to work then it's
 6
 7
    possible.
         Okay. Did you do any investigation, ask the principal or
    any of the teachers where Mr. McChester may have parked at the
10
    school?
11
         No, sir, I haven't asked.
12
         You haven't followed up on that?
13
         No, sir, not yet.
14
         You testified earlier that you had evidence of
15
    communications between my client and the victim -- by the way,
16
    do you know the victim's birth date?
17
         Not offhand, I don't.
18
         Would you have any documents or records with you that
19
    might help you?
20
         I don't have any here.
21
              MR. DUKE: Your Honor, I would object to that
22
    question.
23
               THE COURT: Not on the record. He can give us the
24
    birth month and the birth year if he's got it.
25
    //
```

- 1 BY MR. GOULD:
- 2 O Do you know the birth month and the birth year?
- 3 A No, sir, I don't.
- 4 Q Okay. But you know she's 15?
- 5 A I believe so, yes, sir.
- 6 Q You indicated earlier that there were voice, text and ISP
- 7 | messages or communications --
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q -- between these two. I think I asked you earlier about
- 10 | the voice communications and you told us you didn't know who
- 11 | the cellular service providers were, correct?
- 12 A I believe he has a T-Mobile and she had an AT and T for
- 13 | their cell phones.
- 14 Q Okay. Do you have any evidence from -- or documentation
- 15 | from -- AT or T or T-Mobile to show the Court that these
- 16 | communications were actually made between the two phones?
- 17 A Don't have those results yet.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you have any recordings of the phone
- 19 | conversations?
- 20 A No, sir.
- 21 Q As far as the text message providers, would those be the
- 22 | same providers?
- 23 A I believe so, yes, sir.
- 24 Q Okay. And again, you don't have any evidence or
- 25 documentation other than the victim's -- or the alleged

- 1 | victim's -- statement that would help us with that, do you?
- 2 A I don't have those results back.
- 3 Q As far as the Internet service providers, can you tell us
- 4 | who the ISPs-- Internet service providers -- were for either
- 5 Mr. McChester or the alleged victim at the time of the offense?
- 6 A I don't have that information with me.
- 7 Q Okay. Do you know who they are?
- 8 A I believe the Corpus Christi Police Department has reached
- 9 out to them. I don't know personally who the Internet service
- 10 providers are for either defendant or the victim.
- 11 | Q And likewise, you don't have any documentation from them
- 12 | which would confirm or corroborate the alleged victim's story?
- 13 A Not with me, no, sir.
- 14 | Q You talked earlier about how this alleged victim got
- 15 Robert McChester's information from a person named -- it was
- 16 | Sam?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q Okay. And have you ever talked to Sam?
- 19 A Not yet, no, sir.
- 20 Q Has anyone interviewed Sam?
- 21 A I don't believe so, no, sir.
- 22 Q And you're not telling the Court today that Robert
- 23 McChester has done anything improper with regards to Sam, are
- 24 you?
- 25 A I don't have any information.

- 1 | Q In fact, you don't have any information that Robert
- 2 McChester has done anything improper with any other minor in
- 3 | the world except for this particular alleged victim, correct?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q You made reference to a statement that you saw on, I
- 6 | think, a Facebook chat, "I'm your daddy." Do you recall that?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q I don't see that in your affidavit. Is that something
- 9 | that came up after you drafted the affidavit or --?
- 10 A There were a number of comments that weren't included in
- 11 the affidavit. That was one of them.
- 12 | O Okay. But that was not one of the comments.
- 13 | A That was not one of the comments put in the affidavit.
- 14 | Q Okay. Is there some particular importance to that
- 15 | statement that you were compelled to testify to it today?
- 16 A I don't believe -- could you repeat the question?
- 17 Q I'm just wondering why you testified to this statement
- 18 | that's not included in your probable cause affidavit and why
- 19 you feel that's an important statement?
- 20 A Well, I believe that's an important statement because when
- 21 | the conversations became flirty and references were made to the
- 22 | victim associating with boys at the school, dancing, the
- defendant made comments that he doesn't want her spending time
- 24 | with boys. She asked why and he said, "I'm your daddy," which
- 25 seemed inappropriate.

- 1 | Q There was some testimony that there may have been sexual
- 2 activity between these two people. Was this girl subject to a
- 3 | SANE examination? Do you know what that is?
- 4 A A SANE examination?
- 5 Q Yes. A -- it's a sexual assault nurse examination.
- 6 A I don't know if she was or not.
- 7 Q Okay. Is there any medical or other forensic evidence
- 8 | that you're aware of that would show that this girl was
- 9 sexually assaulted or had had sex?
- 10 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 11 Q Is there any evidence regarding sexual activity that you
- 12 know of besides her word or her statement to you?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q You mentioned earlier that she had given a description of
- 15 Mr. McChester's home and that you thought it was more or less
- 16 | accurate?
- 17 A She described the color of the house, the layout of the
- 18 | furniture inside his bedroom, certain items that she saw inside
- 19 the home.
- 20 O Which items would those be?
- 21 A Television, some kind of a gun -- I believe it was a
- 22 | shotgun/rifle, some kind of a long gun that she remembered
- 23 seeing in the house, sheet color, where the bathroom was
- 24 located. I believe she said she didn't go into any of the
- 25 other rooms other than she said when you came in the front door

- 1 | the kitchen was right in front of you, where the bedroom was
- 2 located, how the furniture was arranged in the bedroom.
- 3 Q As far as the layout of the house, were you -- did you go
- 4 to the house?
- 5 A I haven't been inside the house.
- 6 Q Are you familiar with the layout of the house?
- 7 A I've seen photographs taken when the search warrant was
- 8 executed at the defendant's house.
- 9 Q Okay. From the front door, what can you see as far as the
- 10 | inside of the house?
- 11 A I believe when you come in the front door it opens to the
- 12 living room area and the kitchen is directly on the other side
- 13 of the living room area.
- 14 Q Okay. Can you see any of the bedrooms?
- 15 A There's a -- I believe a small hallway that leads to the
- 16 bedrooms.
- 17 Q Do you have any pictures with you of the --
- 18 A I don't have any.
- 19 Q -- of the home?
- 20 A Here on the stand with me, no, sir.
- 21 Q Okay. But pictures were taken at the --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q -- at the time of the search?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q And those would be in the possession of CCPD do you think?

- 1 A Yes, sir, they have them.
- 2 Q This girl claimed that there were, I think you testified,
- 3 seven sexual encounters?
- 4 A Seven to eight, I believe.
- 5 Q Seven to eight?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q Have you been able to independently corroborate the time
- 8 | and/or place of these alleged encounters?
- 9 A No, sir.
- 10 Q Have you -- is that currently being investigated?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. And does that investigation also take into
- 13 | consideration Mr. McChester's work schedules as a police
- 14 officer?
- 15 A It will be taken into account, yes, sir.
- 16 Q Okay. And do you know anything at all about that?
- 17 A About his work schedule?
- 18 Q About how his work schedule either corroborates or does
- 19 | not corroborate the statements of the girl regarding their
- 20 | sexual encounters?
- 21 A No, if you read some of the messages he refers to the fact
- 22 | that he's on -- at work and on duty when he's talking to her
- 23 through Facebook.
- 24 Q Okay. Do any of the messages or evidence that you've
- 25 gathered to date indicate that Mr. McChester was on duty at the

- 1 | time of the encounters?
- 2 | A I haven't seen the work schedule from the Corpus Christi
- 3 Police Department to determine if they correspond.
- 4 Q Okay. Is that something you're looking into?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q You don't have any evidence to that at this point or any
- 7 | corroboration?
- 8 A To date, no, sir, I don't.
- 9 Q Did you make the arrest of Mr. McChester the other day?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q Okay. And you understand that he voluntarily turned
- 12 | himself in?
- 13 A That was my understanding.
- 14 Q Okay. In fact, you arrested him at my office.
- 15 A Yes, sir, outside your office.
- 16 Q Okay. And that was a voluntary arrest. You didn't have
- 17 to apprehend him, correct?
- 18 | A I wasn't in the office when the Corpus Christi Police
- 19 Department first made contact with the defendant in your
- 20 office. When I first saw him, he was standing on the sidewalk
- 21 | with the Corpus Christi Police officers.
- 22 | Q And you understand this; he did voluntarily turn himself
- 23 in, correct?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q The -- you were asked a number of questions by Mr. Duke

- 1 | regarding some identification documents you found in
- 2 Mr. McChester's house. These were driver's licenses?
- 3 A I believe so, yes, sir.
- 4 Q Okay. And do you think that some may have been under 21?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q But you're not sure of the exact ages or dates, are you?
- 7 A No. I don't know the exact ages or dates.
- 8 Q And you're not telling the Court that that is some type of
- 9 offense, crime or rule infraction that you know of for him to
- 10 have those, are you?
- 11 A Based on what I was told that he had been reprimanded in
- 12 the past for keeping identification documents.
- 13 | Q The question is do -- can you cite or quote a rule or
- 14 regulation that might have been violated as a result of him
- 15 having these identification cards?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q In fact, he could have seized those cards that very day
- 18 and was waiting to turn them in the very next work day when he
- 19 reported for duty, correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q And certainly that's not a rule violation or a crime that
- 22 you know of.
- 23 A Not that I know if, no.
- 24 Q Have you done any background on the victim of this case?
- 25 A What do you mean by background?

- 22 Pictures on her phone of her engaged in other acts?
- Sexual acts with people other than Robert McChester? 23
- 24 I don't believe I testified to that, no, sir.
- 25 Give me just a second.

	Odom - Cross / By Mr. Gould 45
	Odom - Closs / By Mr. Godia 43
1	Can I approach, your Honor?
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	BY MR. GOULD:
4	Q It's the second to the last page. It's under KC; it's the
5	first line.
6	A Second to the last?
7	Q I'm sorry, yes.
8	THE COURT: What are you having him look at,
9	Mr. Gould? What's he looking at?
10	MR. GOULD: He's looking at the second to the last
11	page of the affidavit, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Of the complaint affidavit?
13	MR. GOULD: First line of the complaint
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. GOULD: and affidavit.
16	THE WITNESS: Starting here at the top?
17	BY MR. GOULD:
18	Q First sentence.
19	A I read it. Would you like for me to read it out loud?
20	Q Yeah, sure.
21	A Okay. The victim says, "I have another pic not boobs -
22	- but me doing something to someone, but I can't put that shit
23	on Facebook."
24	Q Were you able to retrieve those pictures from her cell
25	phone?

25

a police officer?

- 1 A I understood it to be a violation of the internal policies
- 2 of the Corpus Christi Police Department.
- 3 Q In addition to the things that were described -- the
- 4 | shotgun or long gun, the layout of the house -- you were asked
- 5 | if there were any other items that were discovered in the home
- 6 that were otherwise referenced in the chats. Was the use of
- 7 | sexual devices, what we would call sex toys, was there a
- 8 reference in the chats?
- 9 A I believe it was a reference to what I believe to be a sex
- 10 toy that was referred to in the messages between the victim and
- 11 the defendant.
- 12 Q Was there any sex toys found in Mr. McChester's home?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Now, when the victim said she met with Mr. McChester and
- 15 they engaged in sexual acts, did he wear a mask?
- 16 A I don't believe so, no, sir.
- 17 Q Did he otherwise try to hide or cover his identity?
- 18 A I don't believe so, no, sir.
- 19 Q So, do you have any reason to disbelieve the victim's
- 20 account that she was able to recognize McChester as the person
- 21 | she met and engaged in sexual acts with?
- 22 A No, I believe her.
- 23 MR. DUKE: Pass the witness.
- 24 MR. GOULD: No further questions.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Thank you, sir. You may step down.

1 (Witness excused at 11:23 a.m.) 2 Do you have any other witnesses? 3 MR. DUKE: Not at this time, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Witnesses on probable cause, Mr. Gould? 5 MR. GOULD: I have no witnesses on the probable cause 6 issue. 7 I'm sorry. Did you say no --THE COURT: I have no witnesses --MR. GOULD: 8 9 THE COURT: -- no witnesses? 10 MR. GOULD: -- on the probable cause issue. THE COURT: 11 Okay. All right. So, both parties 12 close. Mr. Duke, argument? 13 MR. DUKE: Your Honor, I'll waive argument. 14 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Gould? Your Honor, just very briefly. I think 15 MR. GOULD: there are some issues as to identifying my client as the 16 17 initiator or the person who was actually on the other end of 18 They haven't proved it was him. the Facebook account. 19 proved that there was an account with his name on it and I 20 think that's all they've proved at this point. So, we'd ask 21 the Court to consider that in making the initial determination. 22 THE COURT: Mr. McChester, would you stand, please? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 24 THE COURT: Mr. McChester, I do find that there is 25 probable cause to believe that you committed the offense

- 1 | alleged in the criminal complaint. I heard sworn testimony
- 2 | that a juvenile victim stated that she had had phone
- 3 | conversations with you and text messaging involving the
- 4 | commission of sexual acts and a communication through the
- 5 Facebook account.
- And as a matter of law, even though those things
- 7 occurred all here in -- probably all here in Nueces County --
- 8 | it's really a matter of law that these involve the use of
- 9 interstate commerce. And so, I do find that there is ample
- 10 probable cause in the case.
- It's not for me at this stage of the case to question
- 12 | the veracity of the victim's testimony. I have no evidence to
- 13 | indicate that what she said was not true and she has identified
- 14 you as the person that she received these text messages from.
- 15 And there is some corroborating evidence, as well. So, I'm
- 16 going to find that there is probable cause to believe that you
- 17 | committed the offense alleged in the criminal complaint.
- 18 Now, on the issue of detention, Mr. Duke, I have the
- 19 | pretrial report. Did you have any additional testimony or
- 20 proffers that you would like to put on?
- 21 MR. DUKE: No additional testimony or proffers, your
- 22 Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Gould? Testimony,
- 24 proffers?
- 25 MR. GOULD: We just ask the Court to adopt the

THE COURT: And when you appeared in front of me a few days ago I told you that you had the right to remain silent and the right to make no statements or comments about the facts of this case. Do you recall that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 THE COURT: All right. Your lawyer says he wants to 25 call you on the stand to testify about bond matters limited to

	McChester - Court Examination 51
1	certain particular areas. Have you discussed this with your
2	attorney?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
4	THE COURT: And do you understand, Mr. McChester,
5	that this is generally not recommended because in your answers
6	or in the questions asked by your attorney you could
7	inadvertently open the door to be further questioned? In other
8	words, Mr. Duke is going to have the right to cross examine you
9	about any matter any matter that you talk about here on
10	the stand and if you open the door or your attorney opens the
11	door, then Mr. Duke is going to be free to cross examine you
12	regarding these matters. Do you understand that?
13	THE WITNESS: That's in reference to the questions my
14	attorney asks me?
15	THE COURT: Or answers that you give.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Have you discussed this with your lawyer?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: All right. And you Mr. Duke?
20	MR. DUKE: Your Honor, I just want to have a moment
21	with Mr. Gould, if I might.
22	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
23	(Pause; Attorneys confer)
24	MR. GOULD: Your Honor, could we have a clarification
25	before I begin?

1 THE COURT: A clarification from me?

2 MR. GOULD: From the Court --

3 **THE COURT:** Sure.

4 MR. GOULD: -- maybe an advanced ruling.

5 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. GOULD:

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions are going to be strictly about family ties, length of residence, community ties, past conduct; that type of thing.

My intent in asking some limited

9 Mr. Duke has indicated he'll be asking about the facts and circumstances of the case, which is something that's under 11 3142(q).

I don't intend to go into those areas, but I'd certainly object to Mr. Duke getting into areas that I don't go into. And so, I'm interested if the Court would allow inquiry into those areas.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Duke?

MR. DUKE: Your Honor, I believe I'm permitted to go into anything that is the factors to be considered by the Court under 3142(g) and they do include the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, the weight of the evidence against the person, the history and characteristics, seriousness or danger — all this stuff he's going to be testifying.

THE COURT: Do you have some case that says that I can allow you to question him about the offense? I mean, I understand those are things that I have to take into

1 consideration. But do you have a case that says that I can -2 that you can cross examine him about those matters if the door
3 is not opened by your attorney?

I don't know what I -- excuse me. Let me say it like this. I don't know why I should be prohibited from making inquiries into areas of consideration that the Court is required to consider. I mean, I can couch my questions, I'm positive, in ways that would cause them to be answered as to the detention issues.

What I would propose in an effort to protect defendant is I'm not opposed to defense counsel proffering his testimony with respect to whatever these questions are. But I believe if he stands up there and takes the stand, then I am permitted to question about anything that's relevant to the detention issue.

If Mr. Gould would like to suggest a proffer to me --

MR. GOULD: Your Honor, if --

MR. DUKE: -- more than likely I would not be opposed to how long he's been living in the community, whether or not he has, you know, been disciplined this way or that. I don't have an issue with that. This Court knows that we regularly do that. But I believe that Mr. Gould does not get to limit my cross examination to what he asks. That's not the nature of cross examination.

- MR. GOULD: Your Honor, I do disagree. I think that
 questions that go beyond the scope of direct are always
 objectionable. However, if Mr. Duke is agreeing to not object
 to a proffer on those issues --
- 5 THE COURT: The statute allows for a proffer.
- 6 MR. GOULD: -- that's probably a better way to do it.
- THE COURT: Even if he were objecting, I'd allow you
 to make a proffer. I would recommend that that's what you do.

 I will not let Mr. Duke cross examine about the offense because
- 10 I don't know of any case that says he can do that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- But at the same time I've been in these -- I've been hearing these cases for a long time and it's very easy with a slip of the tongue to bring up the issue. All your client has to do is say, "I've," you know, "I don't have sex with minors," or anything like that and he's going to open the door just by saying -- by some answer. That's why it's not recommended that these guys testify.
- But I'm going to let Mr. Duke cross examine about anything that your client might infer and I will accept a proffer if you want to do that instead.
- 21 MR. GOULD: Your Honor, I'd prefer to do a proffer 22 and I'll recall my client from the stand.
- THE COURT: Okay. Mr. McChester, you may step down.

 Thank you, sir.
- 25 All right. Your proffer, Mr. Gould.

1 MR. GOULD: Your Honor, the proffer is if my client 2 were called to the stand under oath to testify --

THE COURT: Let's -- let me get him down there. That chain rattling is hard on the record.

(Pause)

Yes. Go ahead, Mr. Gould.

MR. GOULD: Briefly, your Honor, my client would testify that he has a clean criminal record. He has no criminal history. He received a speeding ticket, I believe, in 2002. He is a -- or since he resigned yesterday -- was a Corpus Christi Police officer for almost five years. He has never been formally disciplined, reprimanded or otherwise by the police department while he was a serving officer.

He has lived in Corpus Christi his entire life. His mother and father have lived in Corpus Christi their entire lives, as well as family before them. His father has been employed by CCAD for almost 40 years. His mother has been employed for almost 20 years at the Corpus Christi State School.

My client is a graduate of Roy Miller High School where he was ranked number 30th in his graduating class. He was a standout football and track star. He played on the golf team and was involved heavily in school activities.

He has, along with his family, deep roots with the community. He has no history of drug or alcohol abuse. He

- 1 | did, in fact, turn himself in voluntarily as soon as he became
- 2 aware that there was a warrant out. We made arrangements and
- 3 he was happy to do that.
- 4 That is the proffer of the testimony that he would
- 5 give, your Honor.
- 6 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you.
- 7 MR. DUKE: I have no objection to that, your Honor,
- 8 for the record.
- 9 THE COURT: The proffer is accepted. Anything else,
- 10 Mr. Gould? I'm going to hear argument in a minute, but we'll
- 11 let Mr. Duke start on that.
- 12 | MR. GOULD: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gould.
- Mr. Duke, argument?
- MR. DUKE: Well, your Honor, first I would argue that
- 16 | under 18, 3142 subsection (e) -- (3)(e), I should say, this is
- 17 | an offense involving a minor victim under Section 2422 of Title
- 18 | 18 and this charge infers a rebuttable presumption that no bond
- 19 can be made -- or, I'm sorry -- I mis-cited the section. It's
- 20 | actually 31 -- no, I got it right, 3142(e). That there's a
- 21 rebuttable presumption that bond is not appropriate in these
- 22 cases because they cannot assure the safety of the community or
- 23 the flight risk. And I would argue that that presumption has
- 24 not been rebutted.
- To the extent that the Court believes that

- presumption has been rebutted, I believe, in complete and total disagreement with Pretrial Services that no bond can safely guarantee the appearance of Mr. McChester to court or the safety of the community.
- This is an offense which carries a mandatory minimum of ten years imprisonment. It's a very long time for a first offense and one that would certainly suggest to an individual that they may want to consider fleeing to avoid prosecution.

With respect to the danger to the community, which is my stronger argument, Mr. McChester is a child rapist. He raped a child seven or eight times.

- 12 MR. GOULD: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that.
- **THE COURT:** Objection's overruled.
- 14 MR. GOULD: Thank you.

- **THE COURT:** It's argument, Mr. Gould.
- Go ahead, Mr. Duke.
 - MR. DUKE: Over a period of approximately one year he used his influence and position of trust as a security officer, an off-duty police officer working as a security officer at Tuloso-Midway High School, in order to gain access to children in general and this child in particular. And then, when confronted, albeit, by the child's initial contacting him on Facebook, he began a dialogue with that child that was flirtatious in nature and then rose to the level of explicit sexual discussions; and then further rose to the level of

expressing desires to engage in, set up meetings to actually, in fact, engage in sexual acts with a child and then attempting to cover his tracks as best he could by encouraging the child to destroy evidence.

He also attempted to avoid detection by moving some of their communications to a different means -- oral communications -- which otherwise would not be reported.

There is reference by Mr. McChester who, according to the victim, is the other person on the end of those chats, that he has been communicating with other children; the original child Sam and then his strange comment that he had to delete students from his Facebook because they were checking on him.

We don't know how many children he may continue to be communicating with in the community. We only know of this one particular one and we further know that this particular one, even when the jig was up, so to speak, and law enforcement had come to his house, had made him aware of their investigation of him, he attempted to contact the number one witness in this case; for what purpose, I don't know. But the inappropriateness of it is certainly there and you couple that with the fact that the child during her CAC interview expressed fear of Mr. McChester finding out about her speaking to law enforcement about the illegal acts that they engaged in, I think there is an ongoing danger to the community with respect to that child.

This is the most serious offense. Perhaps the only thing that would be more serious would be child murder with respect to multiple occasions of sexually assaulting a child. And I believe that these open ended questions as to who all he's communicating with, who he might continue to communicate with is knowledge and ability as a police officer with respect with how to potentially hide his criminal acts make him an ongoing danger to the community.

And so, I don't believe that simply keeping him at home, simply putting him on an electronic monitor, is going to protect the public from Mr. McChester, a man who has demonstrated in the past a willingness to abuse his position of trust to harm children. And I might add, successfully harm children. This is not the case that the Court typically hears where we have an individual who was attempting to meet with a child and, by the grace of God or whatever he was actually communicating with undercover police officers or detectives. This is a situation where Mr. McChester actually was able to sexually assault the child on numerous occasions.

So, I would argue that he remains an ongoing danger to the community and I'm asking that he be detained without bond.

THE COURT: Mr. Gould?

24 MR. GOULD: First and foremost, your Honor, I think

25 Mr. Duke is way, way off base in prefacing his arguments

against bond on what he calls child rape and child sexual assault. In the first place, there's no evidence of that other than some uncorroborated third party hearsay from a federal agent.

In the second place, the law is clear that the dangers that the Court is supposed to consider in determining whether to go beyond the rebuttal of presumption have to relate to the federal case and the federal case only. And your Honor, I'm referencing U.S. versus Ploof, 851 Fed.2d 11; and U.S. versus Seit (phonetic), 233 Fed.Supp.2d 221.

In this case, your Honor, the federal case that we have is online solicitation, so let's talk about that danger.

The Court can certainly craft a combination of pretrial burdens or a single pretrial burden which would prevent the community from danger and ensure that my client makes it to trial.

I think that we have successfully rebutted the presumption, your Honor, and I do agree there is a presumption. I agree with counsel. But my client has rebutted the presumption by his character, his family ties, his length of residence in the community, his community ties, his lack of past criminal conduct and his past conduct in the community; by the fact that he has no history of alcohol and/or drug abuse; the fact that he turned himself in voluntarily; and by the fact that he has had steady employment since graduating from high school. Your Honor, those are nine of the 3142(g) factors and

- 1 | I believe we've rebutted the presumption.
- 2 So, at this point the question is, is there a danger
- 3 to the community of my client engaging in further online
- 4 | solicitation or is there danger that he's going to be a flight
- 5 risk. And, your Honor, we agree with Pretrial Services that we
- 6 believe that the Court, based upon these factors, can assess
- 7 | these risks and can address these risks with an appropriate
- 8 combination of pretrial bond conditions. And we'd ask the
- 9 Court to do that.
- 10 Your Honor, my client's parents have indicated to me
- 11 | they're willing to be third party custodians. My client stays
- 12 | with them most of the time, anyway. They all go to the same
- 13 | church together. They spend time together and he's willing to
- 14 | submit to any and all conditions that the Court deems
- 15 appropriate.
- 16 THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Gould. Your
- 17 | client's parents, are they willing to allow your client to live
- 18 | with them?
- 19 MR. GOULD: They are, your Honor, and we have had
- 20 | that discussion and I think Pretrial has also had that
- 21 discussion with them.
- 22 | THE COURT: Are your client's parents willing to
- 23 | serve as co-sureties in the case?
- MR. GOULD: They are, your Honor. We've had that
- 25 | conversation, as well.

THE COURT: Are your client's -- do your client's parents have access to the Internet at their home and do they have cell phones?

(Pause; Attorney confers with Defendant)

MR. GOULD: They do, your Honor. However, if the Court decides that it's inappropriate for that to be in the house, I'm sure they would be willing to cut that Internet access off, as well as comply with any of the Court's orders regarding cell phone usage or access.

THE COURT: Are your client's parents willing to submit at random, when requested, to any kind of a search of their home?

MR. GOULD: They would, your Honor. Not a problem at all.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Duke?

MR. DUKE: Your Honor, the age that we live in, the ease of electronic media, communication devices, they could easily be brought into a home. They could easily be removed from the home. They can easily be hidden within the home. I don't believe that there really is truly a way to protect the public from Mr. McChester obtaining one of these devices and using it to further commit additional crimes.

Moreover, I have repeatedly heard this very Court state that it is aware of a difference with respect to persons who were sexually interested in children who simply look at

images or maybe even attempt to contact children versus those
who have actually been successful in sexually assaulting
children. And the comments that I've heard this Court make in
the past are that such individuals present, basically, a danger

5 that is so great that the public cannot be protected.

And here we have, granted it's a statement by a single victim, but one that has been largely corroborated through her descriptions of the locations of the acts, descriptions of the defendant's home, descriptions of everything, as well as his own corresponding acknowledgment in the chats that these acts did, in fact, occur. And they occurred over an extended period of time and they occurred with some attempts by the defendant to conceal his illegal activities.

So, he is a person who is well used to dodging the law, so to speak, with respect to his criminal activity. And I would urge this Court to consider Mr. McChester and what he's done and compare it against this Court's comments in other cases and this Court's review of other cases and recognize that Mr. McChester is very much a danger to the community.

THE COURT: Mr. Duke, do you have any evidence that - other than these discussions about eliminating the chats, the
written chats that they're having -- do you have -- and the
victim's statement that she was afraid -- were there any
threats made -- are you aware of any threats made by

- 1 Mr. McChester to this victim or to anyone else about any
- 2 | threats regarding testimony or cooperation with an
- 3 investigation?

appropriate.

- 4 MR. DUKE: No, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gould, do you have anything
- 6 else?

- MR. GOULD: Your Honor, nothing other than I think we do have a disagreement over how reliable the evidence is. I think that the facts of this particular case, dealing with an online solicitation being alleged, I think the Court can and should craft some bond conditions that will adequately protect the community. My client is willing to submit to, you know, GPS tracking or monitoring or whatever the Court thinks is
- 15 **THE COURT:** Mr. Duke, did you have anything else?
- 16 MR. DUKE: No, your Honor.
- THE COURT: There are -- Mr. McChester, would you
- 18 stand, please? There are a number of factors that I must
- 19 examine in determining whether or not to detain you. This is a
- 20 presumption case. That means that under our statues, Congress
- 21 has determined that there is a presumption -- it is a
- 22 | rebuttable presumption, but there is nonetheless a presumption
- 23 | -- based upon the offense that you've been charged with that
- 24 | there are no conditions or combination of conditions which
- 25 | would ensure your appearance and the safety of the community.

There are a number of factors that I must examine in determining whether or not to -- whether or not that presumption has been rebutted. The first is the strength of the evidence against you and I do believe, based on everything I've heard, that the evidence against you is substantial.

With regard to the other factors that I must examine,
I'm going to adopt as my own the findings and conclusions
contained in the Pretrial Services report supplemented by the
proffer of your attorney, along with the testimony of Mr. Odom.

The defendant is a United States citizen. He has a clean criminal history. He has supportive parents and I believe that conditions of bond can be fashioned that would protect the community and the victim and ensure the defendant's appearance in court.

I'm going to have conditions that are a little bit more onerous than those that were recommended by Pretrial Services and set bond at \$100,000. I'm going to require a cash deposit of \$20,000. And the defendant's parents are to sign the bond as third party custodians and also as co-sureties.

And if additional co-sureties are required, then additional co-sureties will have to be required to secure the full \$100,000 on the bond. That's to be fully secured with non-exempt properties.

The defendant's parents have to agree to serve as third party custodians. They have to agree to allow the

- The defendant is to reside with defendant to live with them. his parents. His travel is going to be restricted to Nueces County. He's to surrender his passport within two days of If not located, he's to submit a notice to the State release. Department and provide proof that he's done so to Pretrial Services. He cannot apply for a passport or possess a passport while on pretrial release.
 - The defendant is going to be restricted to his residence. Now, the defendant -- he's going to have to maintain a land line home telephone, but other than the land line home telephone, if the defendant's parents have access to the Internet, that's to be cut off and all computers are to be removed from the home.

And -- I hate to do this because it's just almost impossible to live without a cell phone these days, but the defendant's parents are going to be required to turn in their cell phones and not use them during the period of time that the defendant is on bond. I don't know of any other way to keep the defendant from accessing the Internet because phones, this day and age, are almost always Internet enabled.

So, the defendant's parents are to turn their cell phones in and not have cell phones while the defendant's on bond. The defendant cannot also, obviously, have a cell phone, any PDA, any cellular device, any wireless device and neither can the parents while on bond. I don't mind if the parents

give their cell phones to a neighbor or another family member who doesn't live there in the home and utilize them when they're not there in the home. But they're not to have them in their possession or in the home.

The defendant is to refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device or other dangerous weapons. The defendant cannot possess any pornographic material while on pretrial release. Defendant cannot have any contact with anyone under the age of 18 unless approved by Pretrial Services.

The defendant is to avoid all contact directly or indirectly with any persons who are or may become a victim or potential witness in this subject investigation, including the minor in this case and anybody else who's been mentioned here in the courtroom. The defendant shall not frequent any establishment catering to minor children, including schools, child care centers, movie, park, theaters; not even restaurants, because the defendant's going to be on home detention. So, he's not going to be able to go anywhere except for absences pre-approved by his Pretrial Services officer.

I'm going to leave the -- if he is already an established member of a church, he will be permitted to go to church services and engage in religious activities, but not other extra-curricular church activities like going down for a dinner or anything like that. And he needs to stay away from

1 | children while he is there.

Defendant cannot possess or operate a computer or utilize the Internet at all while on pretrial release. No photography equipment; that's all to be removed from the home. The defendant and his parents are going to have to submit their telephone bills, cable bills, cell telephone bills at the discretion of Pretrial Services.

The defendant's parents are going to have to agree that their home can be searched -- and the defendant's home. He's not going to be living there and he doesn't really have any business to be over there, but the defendant's home. And the defendant's parents have to agree that their homes can be searched by a Corpus Christi police officer or by a Pretrial Services officer at their request and their person has to agree to be searched for cell phones in their personal possession, such as their car and their purses, briefcases, anything like that. That is all to be subject to search by Pretrial Services. And they have to agree to do that by signing the bond.

The defendant is to be on home confinement. He's to have a GPS tracker. He's going to be restricted and locked down to his home at all times, except for medical necessities, court appearances, visiting his attorney and church services if it's verified that he is a regular member of a church and that he goes with his parents. His parents have to agree to sign

1 | the bond and agree to assist in carrying out these conditions.

In setting bond in this case, one of the things that I've taken into consideration is that if the defendant has any kind of a text message with anyone, there's going to be a record of it. This is not going to be a he said she said kind of thing. If the defendant utilizes a cell phone to try to contact somebody, there is a written record of it even if it is

9 Mr. McChester thought that once things were deleted that that
10 was it. That's not it. There is a record of everything and we

I'm quite frankly kind of surprised that

11 | will know.

Mr. Duke, without waiving any objection that you have to my ruling, do you have any other conditions that you would like to suggest?

MR. DUKE: Yes, your Honor. With all due respect to the Court, there's not always a record of everything. In fact, Mr. McChester was communicating with the victim by use of a telephone, the traditional means of oral communications, and the content of that communication would not be known.

The fact that there's going to be a telephone in the home is of great concern to the government because he has, in fact, by the evidence that we've obtained so far in the investigation, been communicating with his victims via the telephone. And that is going to continue to be available to him under the conditions that the Court has set for bond today.

1 The only thing that I can do, which is not nearly enough to protect the public, is I would request as an 2 additional condition of his bond, since there will be a phone 3 in the home that he has access to, that we be permitted to 4 5 review the phone toll records to determine who -- what calls are going into and out of the home. Of course, this will not 6 7 prevent Mr. McChester from saying whatever he wants in those communications with any number of potential victims. 8 9 would ask that to be an additional condition. 10 THE COURT: You want something in the nature of a 11 trap and trace? Permission to put a trap and trace on the 12 phone? 13 MR. DUKE: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sure. That's perfectly appropriate. 15 Because I think there has to be a telephone in the home. 16 MR. DUKE: There does. 17 THE COURT: I know there doesn't have to be for GPS, 18 right? 19 MS. ESPINOSA: That's absolutely correct. In fact, 20 we could actually supervise him with a cellular phone that is 21 basically initiated through our office, your Honor. So, if the 22 Court is more comfortable with that, we're happy to oblige. 23 THE COURT: Well, but how do you contact him when you 24 need to tell him when he's supposed to come to court if there's

no phone in the house and nobody you can call and tell?

MS. ESPINOSA: We -- usually when we're recommending GPS, it is without a land telephone line and it's with the cell phone that we provide the defendant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I still think he needs to have a land line home telephone at the house and I'm going to give the government any kind of trap and trace order that they need to keep track of all the numbers that are dialed or pulsed in or out of that telephone.

So, if your client's parents are willing to do this, Mr. Gould, it is a huge infringement on their privacy rights and you need to make sure that they understand that before they come in here and sign this document, because they're pretty much opening up their home and everything they own to search anytime, anywhere that anybody wants to search them. I don't know of any other way to try to protect the public from Mr. McChester. So, if they're willing to do that, I'm willing to put him on bond.

Now, before I go any further on this, Mr. Duke, do you want -- are you intending to appeal and do you want a stay to appeal?

MR. DUKE: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a stay in the activation of the bond until Monday to get your motion filed and also to order and make arrangements to pay for a transcript of the hearing.

1 MR. DUKE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, Monday at 5:00. And then after that if there's no motion filed, then the bond will go into effect and the defendant can begin to take the steps necessary to post the bond.

6 Mr. McChester -- Mr. Gould, did you have anything you 7 wanted to say?

MR. GOULD: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. McChester, do you understand all the conditions of your bond?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

anything in here about firearms? There is a condition that you not possess a firearm, destructive device or other dangerous weapons. It's also to be verified that all firearms and any other destructive device or dangerous weapon be removed from the home before Mr. McChester is allowed to go there, so that family, if they keep firearms, they need to put them with relatives or somebody else.

Do you understand all the conditions of your bond, Mr. McChester?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you fail to appear in court when you're supposed to or if you violate any condition of your bond, that a warrant could issue for your

1	arrest, your bond could be revoked and you could be charged
2	with committing another crime of failure to appear?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Martinez, did you
5	have anything else?
6	MS. ESPINOSA: No, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
8	Anything further, Mr. Gould?
9	MR. GOULD: Nothing further.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Duke?
11	MR. DUKE: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your
13	appearances. Defendant is remanded to the custody of the
14	marshals. Parties are excused. Thank you. We'll be in
15	recess.
16	(Proceeding was adjourned at 12:03 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join Hudson

April 24, 2012

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER